

Duval County Public Schools

Abess Park Elementary School



2021-22 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, [click here](#).

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Success... All Students... All Standards

Provide the school's vision statement.

Abess Park Elementary School is committed to providing high quality educational opportunities that will inspire all students to aspire to learn, acquire the knowledge, and accomplish all standards.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Shore, Kristin	Principal	
Weller, Corinne	Assistant Principal	
Hayden, Amanda	Teacher, K-12	Reading Interventionist
Crosby, Sharon	Teacher, ESE	CSS Site Coach
Denny, Melanie	Instructional Coach	
Wright, Mary Catherine	Teacher, K-12	Math Interventionist
Mollo, Wendy	Guidance Counselor	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 7/1/2014, Kristin Shore M

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

30

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

13

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

42

Total number of students enrolled at the school

592

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2020-21 school year.

2

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2021-22 school year.

4

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2021-22

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	43	84	77	86	96	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	455
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	1	1	75	87	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	227

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/28/2021

2020-21 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:



The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2019	73%	64%	9%	64%	9%
Cohort Comparison		-73%				
05	2021					
	2019	67%	57%	10%	60%	7%
Cohort Comparison		-73%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2021					
	2019	66%	49%	17%	53%	13%
Cohort Comparison						

Grade Level Data Review - Progress Monitoring Assessments

Provide the progress monitoring tool(s) by grade level used to compile the below data.

1st-3rd Grades: iReady Reading and Math

4th-5th Grades: Reading- Achieve 3000; Math- Freckle/STAR

5th Science: District Created Assessments- Baseline, Progress Monitoring 2 (mid-year), Spring Mock NGSSS

Grade 1				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	28	41	66
	Economically Disadvantaged	24	24	61
	Students With Disabilities	17	33	75
	English Language Learners	0	67	67
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	18	47	74
	Economically Disadvantaged	16	45	71
	Students With Disabilities	17	50	83
	English Language Learners	0	0	100

Grade 2				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	32	49	70
	Economically Disadvantaged	33	48	65
	Students With Disabilities	17	46	63
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	19	38	62
	Economically Disadvantaged	26	24	63
	Students With Disabilities	13	38	71
	English Language Learners	0	0	0
Grade 3				
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
English Language Arts	All Students	47	65	78
	Economically Disadvantaged	24	46	61
	Students With Disabilities	42	58	67
	English Language Learners	27	45	64
	Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	20	47	62
	Economically Disadvantaged	15	26	39
	Students With Disabilities	13	48	48
	English Language Learners	9	18	33

Grade 4

		Number/% Proficiency	Fall 12.1377	Winter 14.2736	Spring 17.1096
English Language Arts	All Students	69	69	73	77
	Economically Disadvantaged	65	65	70	70
	Students With Disabilities	67	67	59	73
	English Language Learners	40	40	60	80
		Number/% Proficiency	Fall	Winter	Spring
Mathematics	All Students	45	45	61	77
	Economically Disadvantaged	35	35	47	63
	Students With Disabilities	42	42	50	65
	English Language Learners	26	26	44	59

Subgroup Data Review

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	38	65		43	47		39				
ELL	45			59							
ASN	61			78							
BLK	43	53		52	60		44				
HSP	54	70		54	70		70				
MUL	59	50		48	20		50				
WHT	72	84		77	72		77				
FRL	51	67		53	58	36	56				
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	37	65	65	47	60	52	41				
ELL	25	60		75	80						
ASN	84	80		100	90						
BLK	45	62	48	46	48	44	46				
HSP	62	59	64	74	75	64	54				
MUL	61	80		78	76						
WHT	68	62	63	79	76	50	80				
FRL	48	57	55	58	62	53	49				
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	40	44	33	49	50	27	57				
ELL	45			64							
ASN	83	75		96	88		90				
BLK	39	41	34	48	49	34	48				
HSP	59	44		70	64		57				
MUL	62	35		71	65						
WHT	68	61	62	81	78	62	76				
FRL	55	47	38	62	60	36	55				

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2021-22 school year as of 10/19/2021.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	[not available]
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	61
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0

ESSA Federal Index	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	65
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	488
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	46
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	56
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	70
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	50
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	65
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	45
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Native American Students

Federal Index - Native American Students

Native American Students Subgraph 51.0000 403.0500 m51.0000 489. Belt 5391% in the Currm5 Year? tive America

Native American Students

Native American Students

Native American Students

utilized to support teachers and small groups of students. Administrative Common Planning will include vocabulary alignment, building upon benchmarks between grade levels and equivalent assessments.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2019 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Our ELA performance is continuing to grow with higher proficiency at multiple grade levels and sub-groups. Improving our students ELA abilities has been a school focus for many years.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our school used Title 1 funds to provide a primary tutor and intermediate Reading Interventionist. In



Using the [SafeSchoolsforAlex.org](https://www.safeschoolsforalex.org), compare the discipline data of the school to discipline data across the state and provide primary or secondary areas of concern that the school will monitor during the upcoming school year. Include how the school culture and environment will be monitored through the lens of behavior or discipline data.

Attendance: Provide monthly rewards for students on time and present on selected days. Continue to inform families of the importance of being on-time and present daily and the negative long-term impact of missing school.

Student Behavior: Review the flow chart and school incident reporting system with the MLT to provide students, staff and families with a consistent response to inappropriate behaviors. Focus on behavioral intervention strategies at monthly PD aligned with the greatest need observed from the latest discipline data. Increase the usage of "Jags Bucks" to motivate students and imbed their quarterly visit to the "Jag Store" within the Resource Schedule to ensure all students have the opportunity to visit four times a school year.

Parent Engagement: Increase the timeliness and amount of communication provided to families regarding school events as well as extending our communication methods through Social Media and the GooseChase Online Subscription (purchased with Title 1 Parent Engagement funds).

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

-Parent nights for Math, Science and ELA will serve stu of all h1missdsion, ritical , compare the disciplicurriandumB

engaging parents in the developmental meeting, annual meeting and SAC.

-The Parent Resource room will be a safe space for families to engage in the school setting by hosting/ participating in learning sessions, checking out materials, utilizing supplies and resources and volunteering to organize or utilize non-perishable food items and coordinate with our business/faith-based partners. The advertisement of our Parent Resource Room will occur through Blackboard Communicator (email, text, phone), website, Social Media, flyers, events, and tours to increase usage.

Part V: Budget

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction	\$0.00
Total:			\$0.00